UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,761	07/17/2003	Jean-Louis Gueret	124371	9154
92793 Oliff & Berridg	7590 01/14/201 ¹ e, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 320850 Alexandria, VA 22320-4850			STEITZ, RACHEL RUNNING	
Анехапина, V А	. 22320-4630		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/620,761	GUERET, JEAN-LOUIS		
Examiner	Art Unit		

R	ACHEL R. STEITZ	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 December 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		·			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following repapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFF periods:	e same day as filing a Notice of lies: (1) an amendment, affidavi (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of t t, or other evidence, which places t with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Reques	he		
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date.	ite of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advino event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shot set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding amount tened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropriate extension for inally set in the final Office action; or (2)	ee as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complian filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed withi AMENDMENTS 	on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 	form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a cor NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendment canceling th	ne		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and so was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			ıd		
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation o REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	f the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims still stand rejected under 103(a) Kogy (UP 63-17031) in view of Gueret (CN 1270786), since Kogyo does disclose a lip, the element (12) of Kogyo discloses a hole; however, the outer rim of the hole can be interpreted as a lip and hence meets					
the claim limitation see Final rejection 10/22/2009.					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PT13. ☐ Other:	O/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/Cris L. Rodriguez/	/Rachel Running Steitz/				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3732	Examiner Art Unit: 3732				